

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/322,333 05/28/1999 TAKESHI KONDO 1217-990766 7590 01/18/2002 RUSSELL D ORKIN **EXAMINER** 700 KOPPERS BUILDING 436 SEVENTH AVENUE ZIRKER, DANIEL R PITTSBURG, PA 152191818 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1771 DATE MAILED: 01/18/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AS	
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	119	
	Examiner		Group Art Unit	
T. 144 N. 2 D. T				
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover she	et beneath the co	orrespondence address-	
Period for Reply	_			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S	FROM THE MAILING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by det Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by 	, a reply within the statutory m fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	nimum of thirty (30)	days will be considered timely.	
Status			·	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/	16/01			
☐ This action is FINAL .	/		•	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 	cept for formal matters, pr 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G.	osecution as to 213.	the merits is closed in	
Disposition of Claims				
© Claim(s)	Slaim(s) 1 - 4-		is/are pending in the application	
Of the above claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)		in/one allowed		
(S) 1 – 4		13/aic a	is/are rejected	
(1-4-		ie/aro ro	piceted	
☐ Claim(s) 1 - 4				
□ Claim(s)		is/are o	bjected to.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are o	bjected to. ject to restriction or election	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are o	bjected to. ject to restriction or election	
☐ Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948.	is/are o are sub requirer	bjected to. ject to restriction or election ment.	
☐ Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are o are sub requirer	bjected to. ject to restriction or election ment.	
☐ Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are o are sub requirer	bjected to. ject to restriction or election ment.	
☐ Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved jected to by the Examine	is/are o are sub requirer	bjected to. ject to restriction or election ment.	
☐ Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved jected to by the Examine	is/are o are sub requirer	bjected to. ject to restriction or election ment.	
Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948 is	is/are o are sub requirer I disapproved i)-(d). have been	bjected to. ject to restriction or election ment	
Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved jected to by the Examine value at the priority documents of the priority documents the priority document the p	is/are o are sub requirer I disapproved a)-(d). have been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	bjected to. ject to restriction or election ment	
Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved jected to by the Examine value at the priority documents of the priority documents the priority document the p	is/are o are sub requirer I disapproved a)-(d). have been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	bjected to. ject to restriction or election ment	
Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved jected to by the Examiner runder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a of the priority documents	is/are o are sub requirer I disapproved a)-(d). have been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	bjected to. ject to restriction or election ment.	
Claim(s)	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved is approved jected to by the Examiner y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a of the priority documents in the priority document in termational Bureau (PCT in No(s)	is/are o are sub requirer I disapproved a)-(d). have been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	bjected to. ject to restriction or election ment.	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

1771

Art Unit

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

-2-

2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over EP -355 (Nagamoto et al.) substantially for the reasons set forth in paragraph No. 2 of the final rejection, together with the following additional observations.

The Examiner first would like to emphasize the fact that an anticipation rejection is the most relied upon grounds for rejecting the pending claims. Additionally, applicants' remarks in their latest response, particularly those set forth at page 5 the last three lines, page 6 lines 15-21 and page 7 lines 4-6 are each strenuously disagreed with. Applicants' contention that the most important issue is what the reference considers to be the preferred embodiment of the invention which it describes is simply incorrect. Rather, the proper issue is what is the "closest prior art" to the claimed invention the reference discloses, which in this instance involves the identical coated article referred to at page 2, lines 12-19 of the final rejection. To hold otherwise and instead rely upon the aforementioned statements made by applicants which the Examiner has pointed out would, in essence, make relevant only comparisons versus what the prior art reference, which is possibly directed at solving a different problem, considered to be its most

Art Unit 1771

prominent examples, and would also substantially eliminate the remaining disclosure of the reference, regardless of how pertinent those part or parts of that disclosure might be. Thus, the Examiner must again strenuously disagree with applicants' contention that the reference has failed to "show an example of such a material inherently claiming the claimed performance parameter property".

Finally, it is noted that the amendment to the performance parameter range in claim 1 has eliminated the Examiner's obviousness argument that the parameter's range was not sufficiently distant from the range value which is found in the tested example of the reference.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan'el Zirker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0031. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310.

Art Unit 1771

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Dzirker:cdc

January 17, 2002

DANIEL ZIRKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1300

1700

Daniel Zirken